

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,400	03/29/2001	Jay H. Connelly	42390P10860	8766
8791	7590 07/13/2005	EXAMINER		
BLAKEL	Y SOKOLOFF TAYLO	LAMBRECHT, CI	LAMBRECHT, CHRISTOPHER M	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2611	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/823,400	CONNELLY, JAY H.					
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u> </u>		Christopher M. Lambrecht	2611					
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	s				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a lip within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commur SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	pril 2005.						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
Dispositio	on of Claims		·					
5)	4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	` · •	• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[ :	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment	•	<b></b>	(DTC 1/2)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/3/2005.	_	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	)				

Art Unit: 2611

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 14-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's failure to adequately traverse facts Official noticed in the previous Office action constitutes an admission of the facts noted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 12, 14, 15, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0117831 to Ellis et al. (hereinafter "Ellis").

With regard to claims 1 and 20, Ellis discloses a computing device (26, fig. 1, ¶0098) comprising a machine readable medium and a processor, the machine readable medium including instructions which when executed by the processor cause the processor to perform operations, and corresponding method comprising: receiving a plurality of streaming content description data (TV listings, ¶0086) about a plurality of streaming content (¶0099); receiving a plurality of stored content descriptions data about a plurality of stored content (VOD selections, ¶0086); and, providing a program guide including at least some of the streaming content description data

Art Unit: 2611

(¶0114, ¶0089), wherein the program guide data includes a menu of the plurality of stored content (fig. 6); and allowing a user to select a stored content from the plurality of stored content to be viewed at any time by selecting the stored content from the menu (where VOD selections are inherently available at any time).

As for claims 2 and 21, Ellis discloses the system and corresponding method of claims 1 and 20, further comprising: receiving a request to present the selected stored content (¶0126).

As for claims 3 and 22, Ellis discloses the system and corresponding method of claims 1 and 20 further comprising: receiving a request to provide a plurality of details about a selected stored content (¶0128).

As for claims 4 and 23, Ellis discloses the system and corresponding method of claims 1 and 20 further comprising receiving a request to present a selected streaming content (¶0175).

As for claims 5 and 24, Ellis discloses the system and corresponding method of claims 1 and 20 further comprising receiving a request to provide a plurality of details about a selected streaming content (¶0128).

With regard to claim 12, Ellis discloses a system comprising: a coordinator (processor, ¶0100) to receive a plurality of streaming content description data about a plurality of streaming content (TV listings, ¶0086); at least one content manager (EPG, ¶0114) to provide to the coordinator a plurality of stored content descriptions about a plurality of stored content (VOD selections, ¶0086), wherein the coordinator to provide a program guide listing at least some of the streaming content data description

Art Unit: 2611

data, at least some of the stored content description data and a menu of the plurality of stored content (¶0114, ¶0089) and wherein a user may select a stored content from the plurality of stored content to be viewed at any time by selecting the stored content from the menu (¶0126).

As for claim 13, Ellis discloses the system of claim 12, wherein the coordinator provides a program guide based on the steaming content description data and the stored content description data (¶0128).

As for claim 14, Ellis discloses the system of claim 12, wherein the coordinator invokes the content manager (EPG) to present a user selected content (¶0128).

As for claim 15, Ellis discloses the system of claim 14 wherein the user selected content is one of the plurality of streaming content or one of the plurality of stored content (¶0128).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang.

As for claims 7 and 9, Ellis discloses the methods of claims 2 and 4, but fails to explicitly disclose decompressing the stored content and streaming content.

Art Unit: 2611

Official notice is taken of the fact that it is well known in the art to store and stream television programs in compressed form, and to decompress said programs prior to viewing, for the purpose of minimizing required storage space and transmission bandwidth.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ellis to include decompressing the stored content and streaming content, for the purpose of minimizing required storage space and transmission bandwidth.

As for claims 10, 11, 18, and 19 Ellis fails to disclose receiving a content manager update and receiving a new content manager.

Official notice is taken of the fact that it is well known in the art to replace or update EPG software in a set-top box for the purpose of upgrading older software to a newer, improved version.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ellis to include receiving a content manager update and receiving a new content manager, for the purpose of upgrading older software to a newer, improved version.

With regard to claims 6 and 8, Ellis discloses the methods of claims 2 and 4. However, Lang fails to disclose decrypting the stored content and decrypting the streaming content.

Examiner takes Official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art to encrypt both streaming and stored media content in order to limit access to said content to authorized viewers, and likewise it is well known in the art for content receivers such as set-top boxes to include decryption means to decrypt encrypted media content when appropriate, for the purpose of permitting authorized users to enjoy encrypted content.

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ellis to include decrypting the stored content and decrypting the streaming content, for the purpose of permitting authorized users to enjoy encrypted content in a multimedia distribution system.

With regard to claims 16 and 17, Ellis discloses the system of claim 12 wherein the coordinator and/or content manager comprise a presentation component (graphics adapter 70, fig. 1, p. 9, II. 2-26); and a decompression component (decoder 66, fig. 1, p. 9, II. 18-19). Lang fails to disclose a decryption component.

Examiner takes Official notice of the fact that it is well known in the art to encrypt both streaming and stored media content in order to limit access to said content to authorized viewers, and likewise it is well known in the art for content receivers such as set-top boxes to include decryption means to decrypt encrypted media content when appropriate, for the purpose of permitting authorized users to enjoy encrypted content.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ellis to include a decryption component, for the purpose of permitting authorized users to enjoy encrypted content in a multimedia distribution system.

Art Unit: 2611

### Conclusion

Page 7

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on	
(Date)	
70 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8

Signature:	
Certificate of	Transmission
	that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark (703) on
Typed or printed	I name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Lambrecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-7297. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached at (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher M Lambrecht Examiner Art Unit 2611

HAITPAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER